arXiv:1511.09096v1 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 29 Nov 2015

Subdiffusion in a system consisting of two different media separated by a thin membrane

Tadeusz Kosztołowicz*
Institute of Physics, Jan Kochanowski University,
ul. Świętokrzyska 15, 25-406 Kielce, Poland
(Dated: December 1, 2015)

We consider subdiffusion in a system which consists of two media separated by a thin membrane. The subdiffusion parameters may be different in each of the medium. Using the new method presented in this paper we derive the probabilities (the Green's functions) describing a particle's random walk in the system. Within this method we firstly consider the particle's random walk in a system with both discrete time and space variables in which a particle can vanish due to reactions with constant probabilities R_1 and R_2, defined separately for each medium. Then, we move from discrete to continuous variables. The reactions included in the model play a supporting role. We link the reaction probabilities with the other subdiffusion parameters which characterize the media by means of the formulae presented in this paper. Calculating the generating functions for the difference equations describing the random walk in the composite membrane system with reactions, which depend explicitly on R_1 and R_2, we are able to correctly incorporate the subdiffusion parameters of both the media into the Green's functions. Finally, placing $R_1 = R_2 = 0$ into the obtained functions we get the Green's functions for the composite membrane system without any reactions. From the obtained Green's functions, we derive the boundary conditions at the thin membrane. One of the boundary conditions contains the Riemann–Liouville fractional time derivative, which shows that the additional 'memory effect' is created in the system. As is discussed in this paper, the 'memory effect' can be created both by the membrane and by the discontinuity of the medium at the point at which the various media are joined.

PACS numbers: 05.40.Fb, 05.40.Jc, 02.50.Ey, 66.10.C-

I. INTRODUCTION

In the many processes considered in biological, engineering or physical sciences normal diffusion or subdiffusion occurs in a system composed of two media, separated by a partially permeable thin membrane; in each part of the system different parameters characterizing diffusion may occur. As an example, we mention the transport of various substances (glucose, pyruvate, lactate, alanine) between blood and a cell [1], diffusion of various substances (medications, cosmetics) through the skin [2], diffusion of various substances in the brain [3], diffusion between extracellular brain space and cells [4], diffusion of large molecular drugs into the brain [5], nisin diffusion into agarose gel [6]. A list of similar examples can be significantly expanded, see also the problems discussed in [7–9].

Subdiffusion is usually defined as a random walk process in which [10]

$$\left\langle (\Delta x)^2 \right\rangle = \frac{2Dt^\alpha}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}, \tag{1}$$

where $\left\langle (\Delta x)^2 \right\rangle$ is the mean square displacement of a random walker, α is a subdiffusion parameter ($0 < \alpha < 1$), D is a subdiffusion coefficient, measured in units m^2/s^α, and Γ denotes the Gamma function. The process of subdiffusion can occur in media in which particles' movement is greatly hindered due to the internal structure of a medium such as, for example, in gels [11]. Subdiffusion is usually described by the following subdiffusion equation with the Riemann–Liouville fractional time derivative (here $0 < \alpha < 1$) [10]

$$\frac{\partial C(x,t)}{\partial t} = D \frac{\partial^{1-\alpha}}{\partial t^{1-\alpha}} \frac{\partial^2 C(x,t)}{\partial x^2} \, . \tag{2}$$

The Riemann–Liouville fractional derivative is defined as being valid for $\delta > 0$ (here k is a natural number which fulfils $k - 1 \leq \delta < k$)

$$\frac{d^\delta f(t)}{dt^\delta} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(k-\delta)} \frac{d^k}{dt^k} \int_0^t (t-t')^{k-\delta-1} f(t') dt' \, . \tag{3}$$

For $\alpha = 1$ one obtains a normal diffusion equation.

We consider subdiffusion in a system which consists of two media separated by a thin partially permeable membrane. In each part of the system, bounded by the membrane, there may be different subdiffusion parameters. We assume that the system is homogeneous in a plane perpendicular to the x axis, thus the system is effectively one–dimensional. The thin membrane is treated here as a partially permeable wall. A diffusing particle which tries to pass through the membrane can be stopped by the membrane with some probability or can jump through the membrane to another part of the system. The membrane is assumed to be so thin that the particle's random walk inside the membrane is not considered. To solve the subdiffusion equation in a membrane system,

*Electronic address: tadeusz.kosztolowicz@ujk.edu.pl

two boundary conditions at the membrane are needed. However, until now, various boundary conditions which are not equivalent to one another have been assumed at the membrane, see for example [12–20].

We present the method of deriving the probability $P(x,t;x_0)$ (the Green's function) of finding a particle at point x at time t, x_0 is the initial position of a particle in the composite system with a thin membrane. We derive the Green's functions using a simple random walk model with both discrete time and spatial variables. Next we move to the continuous variables by means of the procedure presented in this paper. From the Green's functions we derive boundary conditions at a thin membrane. In the paper [15] the method based on the random walk model on a lattice was used to determine the Green's functions for a system with a thin membrane in which the homogeneous medium is divided by a thin membrane into two parts. However, this method is not applicable to the system in which the subdiffusion parameters are different at each part of the system. In this paper we present a new method of deriving the Green's functions for the composite system with a thin membrane.

A random walk model with a discrete time and space variable appears to be a useful tool in modeling anomalous or normal diffusion [21–26]. This model is particularly conducive in determining the Green's function for a system in which the uniformity of a system is disturbed at one point [26–30]; the point represents a membrane which is located perpendicularly to the x axis in a one–dimensional system. In order to move from discrete to continuous time in a homogeneous system one assumes that the time which is needed for a particle to take its next step is ruled by the distribution $\omega(t)$. However, the situation is more complicated in a system which consists of two parts with different transport properties since the two distributions $\omega_1(t)$ and $\omega_2(t)$, which describe the time which a particle needs to take its next step in the regions $x < x_N$ and $x > x_N$ (x_N denotes the position of a membrane), respectively, are required. In order to incorporate correctly these distributions into the Green's function obtained for a discrete time, it is desirable to know how many particle's steps are performed in each region. In this paper we will show the new method of calculating the Green's functions for a membrane system, which omits the difficulties described above and is relatively simple to use. This method is based on the 'mathematical trick', which consists of considering the random walk of a particle Q in a system with two kinds of reactions with static particles Q_A and Q_B. The first reaction, $Q + Q_A \longrightarrow Q_A$, can occur with probability R_1 in the region $x < x_N$, the second reaction, $Q + Q_B \longrightarrow Q_B$, can occur with probability R_2, in the region $x > x_N$. Supposing that the particles Q_A and Q_B are distributed homogeneously in the appropriate parts of the system, the reaction probabilities do not depend on a space variable. Solving the difference equations describing the random walk with reactions (more specifically finding the generating functions for these equations) and connecting the functions $\omega_1(t)$ and $\omega_2(t)$ with the reaction probabilities R_1 and R_2, respectively, we finally obtain the Green's functions in which the functions $\omega_1(t)$ and $\omega_2(t)$ are correctly incorporate in the model. Following this, in order to obtain the Green's functions for the system without recations, we place $R_1 = R_2 = 0$. In the last step, we move from a discrete to continuous space variable.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Sec. II, we present the model and we find the general form of the Green's functions for the system under consideration. Using the Green's functions, we derive the general form of boundary conditions at the membrane. In Sec. III, we find the Green's functions for the following cases. The first one, when a particle starts its random walk in a 'faster' medium, the second one in which a particle starts its random walk in a 'slower' medium, and the third one in which both media have the same subdiffusion parameters but different subdiffusion coefficients. The 'faster' medium is defined as a medium having a larger parameter α. The properties of the Green's functions and boundary conditions at the membrane are also discussed. In Sec. IV, we discuss the 'memory effect' created both by the membrane and by the discontinuity occurring at a point in which media become joined. The final remarks are presented in Sec. V. Some details of the calculations are presented in the two Appendixes.

II. THE MODEL

Supposing a thin membrane located at the point x_N separates the region $x < x_N$ (in the following, denoted as A) with subdiffusion parameters D_1, α_1 and the region $x > x_N$ (denoted as B) with the parameters D_2, α_2, $0 < \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \leq 1$. The Green's function, a generating function, and a flux defined for $x < x_N$, are all labelled by the index A, whereas the functions defined for $x > x_N$ labelled by the index B.



FIG. 1: A composite system with a thin membrane represented by the vertical dashed line, a more detailed description is in the text.

A. The discrete time and space variable

Firstly, we consider a particle's random walk in a system in which time n and spatial variable m are discrete; the thin membrane is located between the N and $N+1$ site (see Fig. 1). The particle can be absorbed due to

the reaction with probability R_1 in the region $m \leq N$, and with probability R_2 in the region $m \geq N+1$. In the following, $P_{i,n}(m;m_0)$ is the probability of finding the particle at site m, after n steps, in the region $i = A, B$, and m_0 denotes the initial position of the particle.

A particle performs its single jump to the neighboring site only if the particle is not stopped by the wall with a certain probability. The particle which tries to pass through the membrane moves from the N to $N+1$ site and can pass the membrane with probability $(1-q_1)/2$ or can be stopped by the membrane with probability $q_1/2$. When a particle is located at the $N+1$ site, then its jump to the N site can be performed with probability $(1-q_2)/2$. The probability that a particle can be stopped by the wall equals $q_2/2$. In this paper we assume that $q_1, q_2 \neq 0$. The difference equations describing the random walk in a membrane system with reactions read as follows

$$\begin{aligned} &P_{A,n+1}(m;m_0) \\ &\quad = \frac{1}{2}P_{A,n}(m-1;m_0) + \frac{1}{2}P_{A,n}(m+1;m_0) \\ &\quad - R_1 P_{A,n}(m;m_0)\ , \qquad m \leq N-1, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &P_{A,n+1}(N;m_0) \\ &\quad = \frac{1}{2}P_{A,n}(N-1;m_0) + \frac{1-q_2}{2}P_{B,n}(N+1;m_0) \\ &\quad + \frac{q_1}{2}P_{A,n}(N;m_0) - R_1 P_{A,n}(N;m_0)\ , \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &P_{B,n+1}(N+1;m_0) \\ &\quad = \frac{1-q_1}{2}P_{A,n}(N;m_0) + \frac{1}{2}P_{B,n}(N+2;m_0) \\ &\quad + \frac{q_2}{2}P_{B,n}(N+1;m_0) - R_2 P_{B,n}(N+1;m_0)\ , \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &P_{B,n+1}(m;m_0) \\ &\quad = \frac{1}{2}P_{B,n}(m-1;m_0) + \frac{1}{2}P_{B,n}(m+1;m_0) \\ &\quad - R_2 P_{B,n}(m;m_0)\ , \qquad m \geq N+2, \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

the initial condition is

$$P_{i,0}(m;m_0) = \delta_{m,m_0}\ , \tag{8}$$

$i = A, B$. In the following, we assume that $m_0 \leq N$. The generating functions are defined as

$$S_i(m,z;m_0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n P_{i,n}(m,m_0)\ , \tag{9}$$

$i = A, B$. After calculations, we obtain (the details of the calculations are presented in Appendix I)

$$\begin{aligned} &S_A(m,z;m_0) \\ &\quad = \frac{[\eta_{R_1}(z)]^{|m-m_0|}}{\sqrt{(1+zR_1)^2 - z^2}} + \Lambda_A(z)\frac{[\eta_{R_1}(z)]^{2N-m-m_0}}{\sqrt{(1+zR_1)^2 - z^2}}\ , \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

$$S_B(m,z;m_0) = \frac{[\eta_{R_1}(z)]^{N-m_0}[\eta_{R_2}(z)]^{m-N-1}}{\sqrt{(1+zR_2)^2 - z^2}}\Lambda_B(z), \tag{11}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &\Lambda_A(z) \\ &\quad = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{\eta_{R_2}(z)} - q_2\right)\left(q_1 - \eta_{R_1}(z)\right) + (1-q_1)(1-q_2)}{\left(\frac{1}{\eta_{R_1}(z)} - q_1\right)\left(\frac{1}{\eta_{R_2}(z)} - q_2\right) - (1-q_1)(1-q_2)}, \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\Lambda_B(z) \\ &\quad = \frac{(1-q_1)\left(\frac{1}{\eta_{R_2}(z)} - \eta_{R_2}(z)\right)}{\left(\frac{1}{\eta_{R_1}(z)} - q_1\right)\left(\frac{1}{\eta_{R_2}(z)} - q_2\right) - (1-q_1)(1-q_2)}, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

$$\eta_{R_i}(z) = \frac{1 + R_i z - \sqrt{(1+R_i z)^2 - z^2}}{z}. \tag{14}$$

B. From discrete to continuous time

Assuming that the waiting time for a particle to take its next jump is ruled by the function $\omega(t)$, in terms of the Laplace transform, $\mathcal{L}[f(t)] \equiv \hat{f}(s) = \int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-st} f(t)dt$, the Green's function for continuous time and a discrete spatial variable are expressed by the following general formula [28]

$$\hat{P}(m,s;m_0) = \hat{U}(s)S(m,\hat{\omega}(s);m_0)\ , \tag{15}$$

where

$$\hat{U}(s) = \frac{1-\hat{\omega}(s)}{s} \tag{16}$$

is the Laplace transform of function $U(t) = 1 - \int_0^t \omega(\tau)d\tau$, which means that a particle has not performed any step over the time interval $(0,t)$. However, for the composite system under consideration, the distribution of waiting time for a particle to take its next step can be different in both parts of the system. In the following, $\omega_1(t)$ denotes the probability distribution of the performing particle's step in the region $m \leq N$ and $\omega_2(t)$ — the probability distribution defined for the region $m \geq N+1$. In this situation, Eq. (15) cannot be used to find the Laplace transform of the Green's functions for continuous time. We will find the rule which allows us to incorporate correctly the Laplace transform of probability distributions $\omega_1(t)$ and $\omega_2(t)$ into the Laplace transform of Green's functions, instead of $\hat{\omega}(s)$. For this purpose, we use the equations describing a particle's random walk in a system with chemical reactions (4)–(7). However previously, in order to illustrate the idea of this method, we briefly

consider the random walk of a particle in a homogeneous system with reactions.

It was shown, in [31] that for a discrete homogeneous system without a membrane in which the reaction probability R is independent of both time and space variables, two methods of moving from discrete to continuous time may be used. In the first method one presumes that a particle takes its jumps according to the rule of the probability density $\omega(t)$, which is assumed to be the same as for a system without reactions. The probability that a particle can vanish due to the reaction up to the particle's next step is not included in function $\omega(t)$, but in the equation, which, for discrete variables, reads $P_{n+1}(m;m_0) = (1/2)P_n(m-1;m_0)+(1/2)P_n(m+1;m_0)-RP_n(m;m_0)$. The generating function for this equation is $S(m,z;m_0) = [\eta_R(z)]^{|m-m_0|}/\sqrt{(1+Rz)^2-z^2}$. In this case, due to Eq. (15) we have $\hat{P}(m,s;m_0) = \hat{U}(s)\left[\eta_R(\hat{\omega}(s))\right]^{|m-m_0|}/\sqrt{(1+R\hat{\omega})^2-\hat{\omega}^2(s)}$.

The second method of moving from a discrete to continuous time is based on the assumption that a particle performs its random walk in exactly the same way as in a system without reactions, $P_{n+1}(m;m_0) = (1/2)P_n(m-1;m_0)+(1/2)P_n(m+1;m_0)$. However, the probability that the reaction does not occur over the time interval $(0,t)$ is incorporated into the probability density $\omega_R(t)$, in which a particle taking its next step at time t and continuing to exist until this moment. For the above equation, the generating function reads $S(m,z;m_0) = [\eta(z)]^{|m-m_0|}/\sqrt{1-z^2}$, where

$$\eta(z) = \frac{1-\sqrt{1-z^2}}{z}. \tag{17}$$

In this case, we suppose that the form of Green's function is the same as for the system without reactions in which we change $\hat{\omega}(s) \longrightarrow \hat{\omega}_R(s)$ and $\hat{U}(s) \longrightarrow \hat{U}_R(s)$, namely $\hat{P}(m,s;m_0) = \hat{U}_R(s)\left[\eta(\hat{\omega}_R(s))\right]^{|m-m_0|}/\sqrt{1-\hat{\omega}_R^2(s)}$, where $U_R(t)$ is the probability that the particle has not performed any step over time interval $(0,t)$ and continues to exist up to time t. Both of the methods provide the same Laplace transform of the Green's function under conditions $\eta_R(\hat{\omega}(s)) = \eta(\hat{\omega}_R(s))$ and $\hat{U}(s)/\sqrt{[1+R\hat{\omega}(s)]^2-\hat{\omega}^2(s)} = \hat{U}_R(s)/\sqrt{1-\hat{\omega}_R^2(s)}$. Solutions to the above equations read

$$\hat{\omega}_R(s) = \frac{\hat{\omega}(s)}{1+R\hat{\omega}(s)}, \tag{18}$$

and $\hat{U}_R(s) = \hat{U}(s)/(1+R\hat{\omega}(s))$; the latter can be written in the following form

$$\hat{U}_R(s) = \frac{1-(1+R)\hat{\omega}_R(s)}{s}. \tag{19}$$

Concluding, the Laplace transform of the Green's function obtained for a system with reactions can be expressed by the Laplace transform of the Green's function obtained for the system without reactions as long as in the latter the functions $\hat{\omega}(s)$ and $\hat{U}(s)$ are replaced by $\hat{\omega}_R(s)$ and $\hat{U}_R(s)$, which are given by Eqs. (18) and (19), respectively.

We apply the method described above to find the Green's functions for the composite system with a thin membrane. We will assume, for the moment, that the waiting time for a particle to take its next step, $\omega(t)$, is the same for both parts of the system. So, from Eqs. (10)–(15), we obtain the following Laplace transform of the Green's functions obtained for both continuous time and discrete space variables

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{P}_A(m,s;m_0) &= \frac{\hat{U}(s)}{\sqrt{(1+R_1\hat{\omega}(s))^2-\hat{\omega}^2(s)}}\Big(\left[\eta_{R_1}(\hat{\omega}(s))\right]^{|m-m_0|} \\ &+\Lambda_A(\hat{\omega}(s))\left[\eta_{R_1}(\hat{\omega}(s))\right]^{2N-m-m_0}\Big)\ ,\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{P}_B(m,s;m_0) &= \frac{\hat{U}(s)}{\sqrt{(1+R_2\hat{\omega}(s))^2-\hat{\omega}^2(s)}}\Lambda_B(\hat{\omega}(s))\left[\eta_{R_1}(\hat{\omega}(s))\right]^{N-m_0} \\ &\times\left[\eta_{R_2}(\hat{\omega}(s))\right]^{m-N-1}\ .\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

We incorporate the Laplace transforms of the functions $\omega_{R_1}(t)$ and $\omega_{R_2}(t)$ into the Green's functions (20) and (21), in place of $\hat{\omega}(s)$, assuming that

$$\eta_{R_i}\left(\hat{\omega}(s)\right) = \eta\left(\hat{\omega}_{R_i}(s)\right)\ , \tag{22}$$

and

$$\frac{\hat{U}(s)}{\sqrt{[1+R_i\hat{\omega}(s)]^2-\hat{\omega}^2(s)}} = \frac{\hat{U}_{R_i}(s)}{\sqrt{1-\hat{\omega}_{R_i}^2(s)}}\ . \tag{23}$$

$i=1,2$. The solutions to Eqs. (22) and (23) are given by Eqs. (18) and (19), respectively. However, the function $\hat{\omega}_{R_1}(s)$, is the Laplace transform of the waiting time probability distribution for a particle to take its next jump that will inevitably be performed from a point located in the region $m \le N$. Therefore, this function depends on the function $\hat{\omega}_1(s)$. Similarly, $\hat{\omega}_{R_2}(s)$ depends on the function $\hat{\omega}_2(s)$. Thus, in this case, Eq. (18) should be replaced by the following equation

$$\hat{\omega}_{R_i}(s) = \frac{\hat{\omega}_i(s)}{1+R_i\hat{\omega}_i(s)}\ , \tag{24}$$

$i=1,2$.

The model of a random walk in which reactions are taken into account play a supportive role and allow for the appropriate assignment of the subdiffusion parameters of both media into the Green's functions. Let us return to a membrane system without any reaction. Therefore, we place $R_1 = R_2 = 0$ in the above formulae, and obtain $\hat{\omega}_{R_i}(s) \equiv \hat{\omega}_i(s)$, $i=1,2$, which together with Eqs.

(12), (13), (20) and (21) provides

$$\hat{P}_A(m,s;m_0) = \frac{1-\hat{\omega}_1(s)}{s\sqrt{1-\hat{\omega}_1^2(s)}}\Big([\eta(\hat{\omega}_1(s))]^{|m-m_0|} + \tilde{\Lambda}_A(s)[\eta(\hat{\omega}_1(s))]^{2N-m-m_0}\Big) , \quad (25)$$

$$\hat{P}_B(m,s;m_0) = \frac{1-\hat{\omega}_2(s)}{s\sqrt{1-\hat{\omega}_2^2(s)}}\tilde{\Lambda}_B(s)[\eta(\hat{\omega}_1(s))]^{N-m_0}[\eta(\hat{\omega}_2(s))]^{m-N-1} , \quad (26)$$

where

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_A(s) = \frac{\Big(\frac{1}{\eta(\hat{\omega}_2(s))}-q_2\Big)\Big(q_1-\eta(\hat{\omega}_1(s))\Big)+(1-q_1)(1-q_2)}{\Big(\frac{1}{\eta(\hat{\omega}_1(s))}-q_1\Big)\Big(\frac{1}{\eta(\hat{\omega}_2(s))}-q_2\Big)-(1-q_1)(1-q_2)} , \quad (27)$$

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_B(s) = \frac{(1-q_1)\Big(\frac{1}{\eta(\hat{\omega}_2(s))}-\eta(\hat{\omega}_2(s))\Big)}{\Big(\frac{1}{\eta(\hat{\omega}_1(s))}-q_1\Big)\Big(\frac{1}{\eta(\hat{\omega}_2(s))}-q_2\Big)-(1-q_1)(1-q_2)} . \quad (28)$$

C. From a discrete to continuous space variable

Supposing that ϵ denotes the distance between discrete sites, and that

$$x = \epsilon m \ , \ x_0 = \epsilon m_0 \ , \ x_N = \epsilon N \ , \quad (29)$$

we transfer the Green's functions from a discrete to continuous space variable presuming ϵ to constitute only small values.

In the continuous time random walk approach, the considerations are performed supposing that s is of small value which corresponds to the limit of long time due to the Tauberian theorem. For small values of s, we may assume

$$\hat{\omega}_i(s) = 1-\tau_{\alpha_i}s^{\alpha_i}, \quad (30)$$

$i=1,2$, where τ_{α_i} is a parameter measured in the units of s^{α_i} which ensures the dimensionless form of the last term occurring in Eq. (30). The parameter τ_{α_i} is related to the distance between discrete sites, by the subdiffusion coefficient D_i which is defined as

$$D_i = \frac{\epsilon^2}{2\tau_{\alpha_i}}. \quad (31)$$

However, in order to derive the subdiffusion equation, the limit of small values of s is not necessary, since, for

$$\hat{\omega}_i(s) = \frac{1}{1+\tau_{\alpha_i}s^{\alpha_i}} \quad (32)$$

the random walk model provides a subdiffusion equation with the Riemann–Liouville fractional time derivative (2) without any restriction of the s variable (see the discussion presented in [31]). From Eqs. (30)–(32) we obtain for small values of ϵ

$$\hat{\omega}_i(s) = 1-\frac{\epsilon^2}{2D_i}s^{\alpha_i}. \quad (33)$$

Within the model considered in this paper, Eq. (33) may be in fact treated as an approximation of $\hat{\omega}_i(s)$ for small values of ϵ, and not necessarily for small values of s. We note that the moving form a discrete to continuous spatial variable cannot be regarded as a strict limit $\epsilon \longrightarrow 0$, because then the parameter τ_{α_i}, due to Eq. (31), will also go to zero and the function $\omega_i(t)$ will lose its probabilistic interpretation. Therefore, performing the transition to a continuous spatial variable, the parameter ϵ is treated as non-zero, but of a small value.

The transition from a discrete to continuous space variable in Eqs. (25) and (26) is done separately for the function type $[\eta(\hat{\omega}_i(s))]^{|m|}$ and for the function $\tilde{\Lambda}_i(s)$. We note that Eqs. (17) and (33) provide for small values of ϵ

$$\eta\left(\hat{\omega}_i(s)\right) = 1-\epsilon\sqrt{\frac{s^{\alpha_i}}{D_i}} , \quad (34)$$

$i=1,2$. Taking into account Eqs. (29) and (34), we suppose that the following relation is satisfied for all functions occurring in Eqs. (25) and (26)

$$\left[\eta\left(\hat{\omega}_i(s)\right)\right]^k \approx \left(1-\epsilon\frac{s^{\alpha_i/2}}{\sqrt{D_i}}\right)^{|x_b|/\epsilon} \approx \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{|x_b|s^{\alpha_i}}{\sqrt{D_i}}}, \quad (35)$$

where $i=1,2$ and $x_b=\epsilon k$, and k represents the exponents of the functions η occurring in Eqs. (25) and (26). The 'limit of small values of ϵ' can be defined as of such a small value of ϵ, that Eq. (35) is fulfilled for all the functions of this type occurring in Eqs. (25) and (26).

For very small ϵ, the frequency of jumps performed by a particle takes an anomalously large values [23]. A very large numbers of attempts to pass through the membrane made over time interval $(0,t)$ for arbitrarily small values of t, means that the particle passes through the membrane with a probability equal to one. In this situation, the membrane loses its selective property. To avoid this, we suppose that the probabilities q_1 and q_2 are functions of ϵ, in such a way that $q_1(0)=q_2(0)=1$ [15, 16]. The calculation presented in Appendix II shows that

$$q_1(\epsilon) = 1-\frac{\epsilon}{\gamma_1} \ , \ q_2(\epsilon) = 1-\frac{\epsilon}{\gamma_2} \ , \quad (36)$$

where γ_1 and γ_2 are reflection membrane coefficients defined for the continuous system. The further considerations are performed in the limit of small values of ϵ, as well as in the limit of small values of s. The limit of small values of ϵ means that this parameter will be absent in the obtained formulae, whereas the limit of small values of s means that only the leading terms, with respect to this variable, will be present in considered functions. In the limit of small values of ϵ we obtain

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_A(s) = \frac{\tilde{\gamma}_1\sqrt{s^{\alpha_1}} - \tilde{\gamma}_2\sqrt{s^{\alpha_2}} + \tilde{\gamma}_1\tilde{\gamma}_2\sqrt{s^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}}}{\tilde{\gamma}_1\sqrt{s^{\alpha_1}} + \tilde{\gamma}_2\sqrt{s^{\alpha_2}} + \tilde{\gamma}_1\tilde{\gamma}_2\sqrt{s^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}}}, \tag{37}$$

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_B(s) = \frac{2\tilde{\gamma}_2\sqrt{s^{\alpha_2}}}{\tilde{\gamma}_1\sqrt{s^{\alpha_1}} + \tilde{\gamma}_2\sqrt{s^{\alpha_2}} + \tilde{\gamma}_1\tilde{\gamma}_2\sqrt{s^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}}}, \tag{38}$$

where $\tilde{\gamma}_i = \gamma_i/\sqrt{D_i}$, $i = 1, 2$. We note that Eqs. (37) and (38) provide $\tilde{\Lambda}_A(s) + \tilde{\Lambda}_B(s) = 1$.

D. General form of the Green's functions

Using Eqs. (25), (26), (29), (31), (33), (35), and the relation $P(x,t;x_0) \approx P(m,t;m_0)/\epsilon$ we obtain the Laplace transform of the Green's functions in the limit of small values of ϵ

$$\hat{P}_A(x,s;x_0) = \frac{s^{-1+\alpha_1/2}}{2\sqrt{D_1}}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{|x-x_0|s^{\alpha_1/2}}{\sqrt{D_1}}} + \tilde{\Lambda}_A(s)\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{(2x_N-x-x_0)s^{\alpha_1/2}}{\sqrt{D_1}}}\right], \tag{39}$$

$$\hat{P}_B(x,s;x_0) = \frac{s^{-1+\alpha_2/2}}{2\sqrt{D_2}}\,\tilde{\Lambda}_B(s)\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{(x_N-x_0)s^{\alpha_1/2}}{\sqrt{D_1}}}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{(x-x_N)s^{\alpha_2/2}}{\sqrt{D_2}}}, \tag{40}$$

where $\tilde{\Lambda}_A(s)$ and $\tilde{\Lambda}_B(s)$ are given by Eqs. (37) and (38), respectively.

In order to solve a differential equation describing subdiffusion in a system with a thin membrane, one needs two boundary conditions at the membrane. Below, we derive the general form of the boundary conditions in terms of the Laplace transform. Functions Eqs. (39) and (40) fulfil the following boundary conditions

$$\hat{P}_A(x_N,s;x_0) = \hat{W}(s)\hat{P}_B(x_N,s;x_0), \tag{41}$$

where

$$\hat{W}(s) = \sqrt{\frac{D_2}{D_1}}s^{(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)/2}\frac{1+\tilde{\Lambda}_A(s)}{\tilde{\Lambda}_B(s)} = \sqrt{\frac{D_1}{D_2}}\left[\frac{\tilde{\gamma}_1}{\tilde{\gamma}_2}s^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2} + \tilde{\gamma}_1 s^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2/2}\right]. \tag{42}$$

The second boundary condition concerns the fluxes flowing through the membrane. The subdiffusive flux J is defined as

$$J(x,t;x_0) = -D\frac{\partial^{1-\alpha}}{\partial t^{1-\alpha}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}P(x,t;x_0). \tag{43}$$

We note that combining the above equation with the continuity equation $\partial P/\partial t = -\partial J/\partial x$, one obtains the subdiffusion equation (2). For $0 < \delta < 1$ and for a bounded function f, the Laplace transform of the Riemann–Liouville fractional derivative Eq. (3) reads

$$\mathcal{L}\left[\frac{d^\delta}{dt^\delta}f(t)\right] = s^\delta\hat{f}(s)\,. \tag{44}$$

From Eqs. (43) and (44) we obtain

$$\hat{J}_A(x,s;x_0) = -D_1 s^{1-\alpha_1}\frac{\partial\hat{P}_A(x,s;x_0)}{\partial x}\,, \tag{45}$$

$$\hat{J}_B(x,s;x_0) = -D_2 s^{1-\alpha_2}\frac{\partial\hat{P}_B(x,s;x_0)}{\partial x}\,. \tag{46}$$

Equations (39), (40), (45) and (46) provide the second boundary condition at the membrane

$$\hat{J}_A(x_N,s;x_0) = \hat{J}_B(x_N,s;x_0)\,. \tag{47}$$

Thus, the flux is continuous at the membrane

$$J_A(x_N,t;x_0) = J_B(x_N,t;x_0). \tag{48}$$

III. SUBDIFFUSION IN A MEMBRANE SYSTEM

The frequency of a particle's jumps is greater for a higher parameter α then the movement of a particle is 'faster'. For the case of $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$, we call the region with larger parameter α a 'faster' region and the other region a 'slower' region. Below, we consider subdiffusion in a composite system with a thin membrane for three qualitatively different cases. In the first case, a particle starts its random walk in the 'faster' region, in the second one, it starts in the 'slower' region and finally we consider subdiffusion in a system in which the parameter α is the same in both regions, but subdiffusion coefficients D_1 and D_2 may be different.

The following considerations will be performed in the limit of small values of parameter s. Functions $\tilde{\Lambda}_A(s)$ and $\tilde{\Lambda}_B(s)$ play a key role in the further considerations because they contain the parameters controlling membrane permeability $\tilde{\gamma}_1$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_2$. The approximation of functions $\tilde{\Lambda}_A(s)$ and $\tilde{\Lambda}_B(s)$, for small values of s, provides the Green's functions which are qualitatively different for the cases $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2$, $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$, and $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$. In order to obtain approximate forms of these functions we take two leading terms of $\tilde{\Lambda}_A(s)$ with respect to s, and next we use the relation $\tilde{\Lambda}_B(s) = 1 - \tilde{\Lambda}_A(s)$.

In the following the inverse Laplace transform of the Green's functions will be calculated with the formula [32]

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1}\left[s^{\nu}\mathrm{e}^{-as^{\beta}}\right] \equiv f_{\nu,\beta}(t;a) \tag{49}$$
$$= \frac{1}{t^{\nu+1}}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{k!\Gamma(-k\beta-\nu)}\left(-\frac{a}{t^{\beta}}\right)^{k},$$

$a,\beta>0$; the function $f_{\nu,\beta}$ is a special case of the H-Fox function. To roughly evaluate the time over which the approximation of small values of s is valid, we use Eq. (49). We note that the functions $s^{\nu}\mathrm{e}^{-as^{\beta}}$ and $f_{\nu,\beta}(t;a)$ can be approximated by their first leading terms under conditions $as^{\beta}\ll 1$ and $a/(\Gamma(-\beta-\nu)t^{\beta})\ll 1/\Gamma(-\nu)$, respectively. Placing $\nu=-1$, we deduce that the condition $s\ll 1/a^{1/\beta}$ causes $t\gg(a/\Gamma(1-\beta))^{1/\beta}$, $a>0$. This condition can also be obtained through the strong Tauberian theorem [26]. We stress that the above condition should be treated as a rough estimation of the 'long time limit' which corresponds to the 'limit of small values of s'. Further calculations will be carry out on the assumption that $x_0<x_N$.

A. From faster to slower region, $\alpha_1>\alpha_2$

Let $\alpha_1>\alpha_2$. We suppose that $\tilde{\gamma}_1\neq\tilde{\gamma}_2$. As we argue later in Sec. V, in practice, this condition does not reduce the generality of the obtained results. In order to carry out calculations in a clearer manner we transform Eqs. (37) and (38) into the form

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_A(s)=\frac{-1+(\tilde{\gamma}_1/\tilde{\gamma}_2)s^{(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)/2}+\tilde{\gamma}_1 s^{\alpha_1/2}}{1+(\tilde{\gamma}_1/\tilde{\gamma}_2)s^{(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)/2}+\tilde{\gamma}_1 s^{\alpha_1/2}}, \tag{50}$$

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_B(s)=\frac{2}{1+(\tilde{\gamma}_1/\tilde{\gamma}_2)s^{(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)/2}+\tilde{\gamma}_1 s^{\alpha_1/2}}. \tag{51}$$

The Laplace transform of boundary condition (41) reads

$$\hat{P}_A(x_N,s;x_0)=\sqrt{\frac{D_1}{D_2}}\left[\frac{\tilde{\gamma}_1}{\tilde{\gamma}_2}s^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2}+\tilde{\gamma}_1 s^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2/2}\right] \times\hat{P}_B(x_N,s;x_0)\,. \tag{52}$$

Due to Eq. (44), the boundary condition in the time domain reads

$$P_A(x_N,t;x_0) = \sqrt{\frac{D_2}{D_1}}\frac{\tilde{\gamma}_1}{\tilde{\gamma}_2}\frac{\partial^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2}P_B(x_N,t;x_0)}{\partial t^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2}} +\sqrt{\frac{D_2}{D_1}}\tilde{\gamma}_1\frac{\partial^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2/2}P_B(x_N,t;x_0)}{\partial t^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2/2}}\,. \tag{53}$$

For $s\ll(1/\tilde{\gamma}_2)^{2/\alpha_2}$ Eq. (52) can be approximated as follows

$$\hat{P}_A(x_N,s;x_0)=\sqrt{\frac{D_2}{D_1}}\frac{\tilde{\gamma}_1}{\tilde{\gamma}_2}s^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2}\hat{P}_B(x_N,s;x_0). \tag{54}$$

Thus, for $t\gg(\tilde{\gamma}_2/\Gamma(1-\alpha_2/2))^{2/\alpha_2}$ the approximate form of the boundary condition at the membrane reads

$$P_A(x_N,t;x_0)=\frac{\sqrt{D_2}\tilde{\gamma}_1}{\sqrt{D_1}\tilde{\gamma}_2}\frac{\partial^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2}P_B(x_N,t;x_0)}{\partial t^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2}}\,. \tag{55}$$

The Laplace transforms of the Green's functions (39) and (40) with $\tilde{\Lambda}_A(s)$ and $\tilde{\Lambda}_B(s)$ given by Eqs. (50) and (51), respectively, take on rather complicated forms for which the inverse Laplace transform can be calculated in the limit of small values of s. Assuming additional condition $s\ll(\tilde{\gamma}_1/\tilde{\gamma}_2)^{2/(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)}$, we obtain the following approximate form of the functions (50) and (51) $\tilde{\Lambda}_A(s)=-1+2(\tilde{\gamma}_1/\tilde{\gamma}_2)s^{(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)/2}$, $\tilde{\Lambda}_B(s)=2\left(1-(\tilde{\gamma}_1/\tilde{\gamma}_2)s^{(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)/2}\right)$, which together with Eqs. (39) and (40) give

$$\hat{P}_A(x,s;x_0)=\frac{s^{-1+\alpha_1/2}}{2\sqrt{D_1}}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{|x-x_0|s^{\alpha_1/2}}{\sqrt{D_1}}} -\left(1-\frac{2\tilde{\gamma}_1 s^{(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)/2}}{\tilde{\gamma}_2}\right)\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{(2x_N-x-x_0)s^{\alpha_1/2}}{\sqrt{D_1}}}\right], \tag{56}$$

$$\hat{P}_B(x,s;x_0)=\left[\frac{s^{-1+\alpha_2/2}}{\sqrt{D_2}}-\frac{\tilde{\gamma}_1 s^{-1+\alpha_1/2}}{\tilde{\gamma}_2\sqrt{D_2}}\right] \times\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{(x_N-x_0)s^{\alpha_1/2}}{\sqrt{D_1}}}\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{(x-x_N)s^{\alpha_2/2}}{\sqrt{D_2}}}\,. \tag{57}$$

We expand an exponentiation in Eq. (57) to a power series, $\mathrm{e}^{u}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}u^n/n!$. For this expansion, we have chosen to use only one of the two exponentiations in Eq. (57), which will reach 1 more rapidly for $s\longrightarrow 0$ (i.e. a function with larger values of the parameter α_i which controlls the exponent of the function). For $t\gg\max\Big[(\tilde{\gamma}_2/\Gamma(1-\alpha_2/2))^{2/\alpha_2},(\tilde{\gamma}_1/\tilde{\gamma}_2\Gamma(1-(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)/2))^{2/(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)}\Big]$, due to Eq. (49), the Green's functions read

$$P_A(x,t;x_0)=\frac{1}{2\sqrt{D_1}}\left[f_{-1+\alpha_1/2,\alpha_1/2}\left(t;\frac{|x-x_0|}{\sqrt{D_1}}\right) -f_{-1+\alpha_1/2,\alpha_1/2}\left(t;\frac{2x_N-x-x_0}{\sqrt{D_1}}\right)\right] +\frac{\tilde{\gamma}_1}{\sqrt{D_1}\tilde{\gamma}_2}f_{-1+\alpha_1-\alpha_2/2,\alpha_1/2}\left(t;\frac{2x_N-x-x_0}{\sqrt{D_1}}\right), \tag{58}$$

$$P_B(x,t;x_0)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{D_2}}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n!}\left(\frac{x_0-x_N}{\sqrt{D_1}}\right)^n \times\left[f_{-1+\alpha_2/2+n\alpha_1/2,\alpha_2/2}\left(t;\frac{x-x_N}{\sqrt{D_2}}\right) -\frac{\tilde{\gamma}_1}{\tilde{\gamma}_2}f_{-1+(n+1)\alpha_1/2,\alpha_2/2}\left(t;\frac{x-x_N}{\sqrt{D_2}}\right)\right]. \tag{59}$$

In Figs. 2–5, the Green's functions are presented for the system in which the particle is located in the 'faster' medium at the initial moment. The calculations were performed taking 50 first terms in the function Eq. (49), and in the series represents the exponent in Eq. (57).



FIG. 2: Plots of the Green's functions for various times given in the legend, $\alpha_1 = 0.9$, $\alpha_2 = 0.5$. The values of the other parameters are $D_1 = D_2 = 0.001$, $\tilde{\gamma}_1 = 0.06$, $\tilde{\gamma}_2 = 0.05$, $x_N = 0$, $x_0 = -1$, all quantities are given in arbitrarily chosen units.



FIG. 3: The plots ot the Green's functions for various α_2 which are given in the legend, $\alpha_1 = 0.9$, $t = 10^5$, the other parameters are the same as in Fig. 2.

We observe that the concentration in the region $x < x_N$ is similar to the plots occurring in a system with a fully absorbing wall for a sufficiently long time. In terms of the Laplace transform, the Green's function for the system with a fully reflecting or fully absorbing wall reads

$$\hat{P}(x,s;x_0) = \hat{P}_H(x,s;x_0) + \zeta \hat{P}_H(x,s;x_s), \qquad (60)$$

where x_s is the point located symmetrically to the point x_0 with respect to the wall, $\zeta = -1$ for the system with an absorbing wall, and $\zeta = 1$ for the system with a reflecting wall,

$$\hat{P}_H(x,s;x_0) = \frac{s^{-1+\alpha_i/2}}{2\sqrt{D_i}} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{|x-x_0|s^{\alpha_i/2}}{\sqrt{D_i}}}, \qquad (61)$$

$i = 1, 2$, is the Laplace transform of the Green's function for a homogeneous system without a wall. The function Eq. (56) takes the form of the functions for the system with an absorbing wall under the condition $s \ll (2\tilde{\gamma}_1/\tilde{\gamma}_2)^{2/(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)}$. This inequality provides the condition $t \gg (\tilde{\gamma}_2/2\tilde{\gamma}_1\Gamma(1-(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)/2))^{2/(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)}$.



FIG. 4: The fragment of Fig. 3 done in the other scale.



FIG. 5: The plots ot the Green's functions for various α_1 given in the legend, $\alpha_2 = 0.3$, $t = 10^5$, the other parameters are the same as in Fig. 2.

B. From slower to faster region, $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$

Let $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_1 \neq \tilde{\gamma}_2$. We transform the functions $\tilde{\Lambda}_A(s)$ and $\tilde{\Lambda}_B(s)$ Eqs. (37) and (38) into the following

form

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_A(s) = \frac{1-(\tilde{\gamma}_2/\tilde{\gamma}_1)s^{(\alpha_2-\alpha_1)/2}+\tilde{\gamma}_2 s^{\alpha_2/2}}{1+(\tilde{\gamma}_2/\tilde{\gamma}_1)s^{(\alpha_2-\alpha_1)/2}+\tilde{\gamma}_2 s^{\alpha_2/2}}, \tag{62}$$

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_B(s) = \frac{2(\tilde{\gamma}_2/\tilde{\gamma}_1)s^{(\alpha_2-\alpha_1)/2}}{1+(\tilde{\gamma}_2/\tilde{\gamma}_1)s^{(\alpha_2-\alpha_1)/2}+\tilde{\gamma}_2 s^{\alpha_2/2}}. \tag{63}$$

It is convenient for further calculations to transform the Laplace transform of the boundary condition to the following form, which contains the positive values of the exponent of s

$$\sqrt{\frac{D_2}{D_1}}\frac{\tilde{\gamma}_2}{\tilde{\gamma}_1}s^{\alpha_2-\alpha_1}\hat{P}_A(x_N,s;x_0) \tag{64}$$
$$= \hat{P}_B(x_N,s;x_0)+\tilde{\gamma}_2 s^{\alpha_2/2}\hat{P}_B(x_N,s;x_0).$$

The inverse Laplace transform of Eq. (64) reads

$$\sqrt{\frac{D_2}{D_1}}\frac{\tilde{\gamma}_2}{\tilde{\gamma}_1}\frac{\partial^{\alpha_2-\alpha_1}P_A(x_N,t;x_0)}{\partial t^{\alpha_2-\alpha_1}} \tag{65}$$
$$= P_B(x_N,t;x_0)+\tilde{\gamma}_2\frac{\partial^{\alpha_2/2}P_B(x_N,t;x_0)}{\partial t^{\alpha_2/2}}\ .$$

As in the previous case, we consider the Laplace transform of the boundary condition and the Green's functions in the limit of small values of s. For $s \ll (1/\tilde{\gamma}_2)^{2/\alpha_2}$ we can omit the last term on the right–hand side of Eq. (64). Then, for $t \gg (\tilde{\gamma}_2/\Gamma(1-\alpha_2/2))^{2/\alpha_2}$ the boundary condition reads

$$P_B(x_N,t;x_0) = \sqrt{\frac{D_2}{D_1}}\frac{\tilde{\gamma}_2}{\tilde{\gamma}_1}\frac{\partial^{\alpha_2-\alpha_1}P_A(x_N,t;x_0)}{\partial t^{\alpha_2-\alpha_1}}. \tag{66}$$

We suppose that $s \ll (\tilde{\gamma}_1/\tilde{\gamma}_2)^{2/(\alpha_2-\alpha_1)}$. So, we have $\tilde{\Lambda}_A(s) = 1-2(\tilde{\gamma}_2/\tilde{\gamma}_1)s^{(\alpha_2-\alpha_1)/2}$ and $\tilde{\Lambda}_B(s) = 2(\tilde{\gamma}_2/\tilde{\gamma}_1)s^{(\alpha_2-\alpha_1)/2}$. The above functions and Eqs. (39) and (40) provide

$$\hat{P}_A(x,s;x_0) = \frac{s^{-1+\alpha_1/2}}{2\sqrt{D_1}}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{|x-x_0|s^{\alpha_1/2}}{\sqrt{D_1}}}\right. \tag{67}$$
$$\left.+\left(1-\frac{2\tilde{\gamma}_2 s^{(\alpha_2-\alpha_1)/2}}{\tilde{\gamma}_1}\right)\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{(2x_N-x-x_0)s^{\alpha_1/2}}{\sqrt{D_1}}}\right],$$

$$\hat{P}_B(x,s;x_0) = \frac{\tilde{\gamma}_2 s^{-1+\alpha_2-\alpha_1/2}}{\tilde{\gamma}_1\sqrt{D_2}} \tag{68}$$
$$\times\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{(x_N-x_0)s^{\alpha_1/2}}{\sqrt{D_1}}}\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{(x-x_N)s^{\alpha_2/2}}{\sqrt{D_2}}}\ .$$

From (49), (67) and (68) we get for $t \gg \max\Big[(\tilde{\gamma}_2/\Gamma(1-\alpha_2/2))^{2/\alpha_2}, (\tilde{\gamma}_2/\tilde{\gamma}_1\Gamma(1-(\alpha_2-\alpha_1)/2))^{2/(\alpha_2-\alpha_1)}\Big]$

$$P_A(x,t;x_0) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{D_1}}\left[f_{-1+\alpha_1/2,\alpha_1/2}\left(t;\frac{|x-x_0|}{\sqrt{D_1}}\right)\right. \tag{69}$$
$$\left.+f_{-1+\alpha_1/2,\alpha_1/2}\left(t;\frac{2x_N-x-x_0}{\sqrt{D_1}}\right)\right]$$
$$-\frac{\tilde{\gamma}_2}{\sqrt{D_1}\tilde{\gamma}_1}f_{-1+\alpha_2,\alpha_1/2}\left(t;\frac{2x_N-x-x_0}{\sqrt{D_1}}\right)\ ,$$

$$P_B(x,t;x_0) = \frac{\tilde{\gamma}_2}{\sqrt{D_2}\tilde{\gamma}_1}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n!}\left(\frac{x_N-x}{\sqrt{D_2}}\right)^n \tag{70}$$
$$\times f_{-1-\alpha_1/2+\alpha_2(1+n/2),\alpha_1/2}\left(t;\frac{x_N-x_0}{\sqrt{D_1}}\right).$$



FIG. 6: The plots of the Green's functions for various time given in the legend, $\alpha_1 = 0.5$, $\alpha_2 = 0.9$, the other parameters are the same as in Fig. 2.



FIG. 7: The fragment of Fig. 6 presented in the other scale of space variable.

We observe that the concentration in the region $x < x_N$ is similar to the plots occurring in a system with a fully reflecting wall for sufficiently long times. The function Eq. (67) takes the form of the functions for the system with a reflecting wall under condition $s \ll (2\tilde{\gamma}_2/\tilde{\gamma}_1)^{2/(\alpha_2-\alpha_1)}$. This equality provides the condition $t \gg (\tilde{\gamma}_1/2\tilde{\gamma}_2\Gamma(1-(\alpha_2-\alpha_1)/2))^{2/(\alpha_2-\alpha_1)}$.



FIG. 8: The plots ot the Green's functions for various α_1 which are given in the legend, $\alpha_2 = 0.9$, $t = 10^5$, the other parameters are the same as in Fig. 2.

C. The case of $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$

Let $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha$. The functions $\tilde{\Lambda}_A(s)$ and $\tilde{\Lambda}_B(s)$ take the following form

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_A(s) = \frac{\tilde{\gamma}_1 - \tilde{\gamma}_2 + \tilde{\gamma}_1\tilde{\gamma}_2 s^{\alpha/2}}{\tilde{\gamma}_1 + \tilde{\gamma}_2 + \tilde{\gamma}_1\tilde{\gamma}_2 s^{\alpha/2}}, \tag{71}$$

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_B(s) = \frac{2}{\tilde{\gamma}_1 + \tilde{\gamma}_2 + \tilde{\gamma}_1\tilde{\gamma}_2 s^{\alpha/2}}. \tag{72}$$

In terms of the Laplace transform the boundary condition Eq. (41) is the following

$$\hat{P}_A(x_N, s; x_0) = \sqrt{\frac{D_2}{D_1}}\left[\frac{\tilde{\gamma}_1}{\tilde{\gamma}_2} + \tilde{\gamma}_1 s^{\alpha/2}\right]\hat{P}_B(x_N, s; x_0). \tag{73}$$

The inverse Laplace transform of Eq. (73) reads

$$P_A(x_N, t; x_0) = \sqrt{\frac{D_2}{D_1}}\frac{\tilde{\gamma}_1}{\tilde{\gamma}_2}P_B(x_N, t; x_0) + \sqrt{\frac{D_2}{D_1}}\tilde{\gamma}_1\frac{\partial^{\alpha/2}P_B(x_N, t; x_0)}{\partial t^{\alpha/2}}\ . \tag{74}$$

In the limit of small s, $s \ll (1/\tilde{\gamma}_2)^{2/\alpha}$, the Laplace transform of boundary condition (73) reads

$$\hat{P}_A(x_N, s; x_0) = \sqrt{\frac{D_2}{D_1}}\frac{\tilde{\gamma}_1}{\tilde{\gamma}_2}\hat{P}_B(x_N, s; x_0). \tag{75}$$

The inverse Laplace transform of Eq. (75) is the following

$$P_A(x_N, t; x_0) = \sqrt{\frac{D_2}{D_1}}\frac{\tilde{\gamma}_1}{\tilde{\gamma}_2}P_B(x_N, t; x_0). \tag{76}$$

Assuming additionally: $s \ll (\tilde{\gamma}_1\tilde{\gamma}_2/(\tilde{\gamma}_1 + \tilde{\gamma}_2))^{2/\alpha}$, from Eqs. (71) and (72) we obtain

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_A(s) = \tilde{\kappa}_1 + \tilde{\kappa}_2 s^{\alpha/2}\ , \tag{77}$$

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_B(s) = 1 - \tilde{\kappa}_1 - \tilde{\kappa}_2 s^{\alpha/2}\ , \tag{78}$$

where

$$\tilde{\kappa}_1 = \frac{\tilde{\gamma}_1 - \tilde{\gamma}_2}{\tilde{\gamma}_1 + \tilde{\gamma}_2}\ ,\ \tilde{\kappa}_2 = \frac{2\tilde{\gamma}_1\tilde{\gamma}_2^2}{(\tilde{\gamma}_1 + \tilde{\gamma}_2)^2}\ . \tag{79}$$

The inverse formulae to Eq. (79) are $\tilde{\gamma}_1 = 2\tilde{\kappa}_2/(1-\tilde{\kappa}_1)^2$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_2 = 2\tilde{\kappa}_2/(1-\tilde{\kappa}_2^2)$. Taking into account Eqs. (77) and (78) we obtain

$$\hat{P}_A(x, t; x_0) = \frac{s^{-1+\alpha/2}}{2\sqrt{D_1}}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{|x-x_0|s^{\alpha/2}}{\sqrt{D_1}}} + \left(\tilde{\kappa}_1 + \tilde{\kappa}_2 s^{\alpha/2}\right)\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{(2x_N - x - x_0)s^{\alpha/2}}{\sqrt{D_1}}}\right], \tag{80}$$

$$\hat{P}_B(x, t; x_0) = \frac{s^{-1+\alpha/2}}{2\sqrt{D_2}}\left(1 - \tilde{\kappa}_1 - \tilde{\kappa}_2 s^{\alpha/2}\right) \times \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{(x_N - x_0)s^{\alpha/2}}{\sqrt{D_1}}}\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{(x - x_N)s^{\alpha/2}}{\sqrt{D_2}}}\ . \tag{81}$$



FIG. 9: The plots ot the Green's functions for various times which are given in the legend, $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0.5$, $D_1 = 0.001$, $D_2 = 0.002$, $\tilde{\gamma}_1 = \tilde{\gamma}_2 = 0.05$, $x_0 = -1$, $x_N = 0$.

The inverse Laplace transform of Eqs. (80) and (81) reads for $t \gg ((\tilde{\gamma}_1 + \tilde{\gamma}_2)/\tilde{\gamma}_1\tilde{\gamma}_2\Gamma(1-\alpha/2))^{2/\alpha}$

$$P_A(x, t; x_0) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{D_1}}\left[f_{-1+\alpha/2,\alpha/2}\left(t; \frac{|x-x_0|}{\sqrt{D_1}}\right) + \tilde{\kappa}_1 f_{-1+\alpha/2,\alpha/2}\left(t; \frac{2x_N - x - x_0}{\sqrt{D_1}}\right) + \tilde{\kappa}_2 f_{-1+\alpha,\alpha/2}\left(t; \frac{2x_N - x - x_0}{\sqrt{D_1}}\right)\right], \tag{82}$$

$$P_B(x,t;x_0)=\frac{1}{2\sqrt{D_2}}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n!}\left(\frac{x_N-x}{\sqrt{D_2}}\right)^n \tag{83}$$
$$\times\Big[(1-\tilde{\kappa}_1)f_{-1+\alpha(1+n/2),\alpha_1/2}\left(t;\frac{x_N-x_0}{\sqrt{D_1}}\right)$$
$$-\tilde{\kappa}_2 f_{-1+\alpha(3+n)/2,\alpha/2}\left(t;\frac{x_N-x_0}{\sqrt{D_1}}\right)\Big].$$

In this case the plots of Green's functions are qualitatively similar to the ones obtained for the system in which a membrane is located in a homogeneous medium, see [15]. In contrast to the case of $\alpha_1\neq\alpha_2$, we do not observe any Green's function characteristic of a system with an absorbing or reflecting wall.

IV. MEMORY EFFECT CREATED BY SYSTEM'S DISCONTINUITY

Subdiffusion is a non–Markovian process with a long memory, which is represented by the fractional Riemann–Liouville derivative occurring in the subdiffusion equation. Since the fractional derivative also occurs in the boundary condition, an additional memory effect is created at the plane perpendicular to the x axis, at which the system is discontinuous. We define the memory effect created at the discontinuity plane as a process in which the probability of finding a particle at one of the 'sides' of the plane depends on the 'long history' of the probability of finding the particle at the opposite plane's side. The discontinuity of the system can be caused by a thin membrane or it is due to a 'natural' border between two different media; both items are independent of each other. In the considered model, discontinuity occurring at the point x_N is caused by both items.

We illustrate this effect using the following approximation of the Riemann–Liouville fractional derivative, which is valid for the small time step Δt

$$\frac{\partial^\alpha f(t)}{\partial t^\alpha}=\frac{1}{(\Delta t)^\alpha}\Big[f(t)-\sum_{n=1}^{L}w_n f(t-n\Delta t)\Big], \tag{84}$$

where $0<\alpha<1$, $w_1=\alpha$, $w_n=\alpha(1-\alpha)\ldots(n-1-\alpha)/n!$, $n\geq 2$, and L is the memory length [33]. The memory length is an arbitrarily chosen natural number. As is proposed in [33], the memory length can be taken as a relatively small number according to the 'short memory principle'. However, as is shown in [34], for the subdiffusion process the memory length should be preferably long in order to achieve a satisfactory compliance between the numerical and analytical solutions to subdiffusion equation Eq. (2). Using the boundary conditions Eqs. (55) and (66) obtained in the long time limit, we briefly describe the memory effect created at point x_N. For $\alpha_1>\alpha_2$, the boundary condition Eq. (55) can be approximated as

$$P_A(x_N,t;x_0)=\frac{1}{(\Delta t)^{\Delta\alpha}}\sqrt{\frac{D_2}{D_1}}\frac{\tilde{\gamma}_1}{\tilde{\gamma}_2}\Big[P_B(x_N,t;x_0) \tag{85}$$
$$-\sum_{n=1}^{L}\tilde{w}_n P_B(x_N,t-n\Delta t;x_0)\Big],$$

where $\Delta\alpha=|\alpha_1-\alpha_2|$, $\tilde{w}_1=\Delta\alpha$, $\tilde{w}_n=\Delta\alpha(1-\Delta\alpha)\ldots(n-1-\Delta\alpha)/n!$, $n\geq 2$. For $\alpha_1<\alpha_2$ the approximation of boundary condition Eq. (66) reads

$$P_B(x_N,t;x_0)=\frac{1}{(\Delta t)^{\Delta\alpha}}\sqrt{\frac{D_1}{D_2}}\frac{\tilde{\gamma}_2}{\tilde{\gamma}_1}\Big[P_A(x_N,t;x_0) \tag{86}$$
$$-\sum_{n=1}^{L}\tilde{w}_n P_A(x_N,t-n\Delta t;x_0)\Big].$$

Thus, for $\alpha_1\neq\alpha_2$ the probability of the particle occurring at a membrane surface which bounds the faster region is reduced by the probability of the appearance of particles at the membrane surface bounded by the slower region of earlier moments. For $\alpha_1=\alpha_2=\alpha$ we get form Eqs. (74) and (84)

$$P_A(x_N,t;x_0)=\sqrt{\frac{D_2}{D_1}}\frac{\tilde{\gamma}_1}{\tilde{\gamma}_2}P_B(x_N,t;x_0) \tag{87}$$
$$+\sqrt{\frac{D_2}{D_1}}\frac{\tilde{\gamma}_1}{(\Delta t)^\alpha}\Big[P_B(x_N,t;x_0)$$
$$-\sum_{n=1}^{L}w_n P_B(x_N,t-n\Delta t;x_0)\Big].$$

Equations (85)–(87) confirm that there is the long memory effect on the plane located at x_N. Eq. (74), and consequently Eq. (87), show that the memory effect is caused by the membrane in the case in which the membrane is placed in a system in which $\alpha_1=\alpha_2$. Here arises a question: is the long memory effect created by the system's discontinuity solely caused by the junction of two different media (in which $\alpha_1\neq\alpha_2$) in the system without a membrane? To 'eliminate' the membrane from the model we will use yet another 'mathematical trick'. Namely, assuming for the moment that the small value of ϵ is already determined, we place $\gamma_1=\gamma_2=\epsilon$ in Eq. (36), which provides $q_1=q_2=0$ and make the membrane 'fully permeable'. Then $\gamma_1/\gamma_2=1$ and $\tilde{\gamma_1}/\tilde{\gamma_2}=\sqrt{D_2/D_1}$. Substituting the last relation to Eqs. (55) and (66) (and also to Eqs. (85) and (86)) the long memory effect is observed in the long limit in a system with a removed membrane, in which $\alpha_1\neq\alpha_2$. We also note that the long memory effect does not vanish over the long time limits for $\alpha_1\neq\alpha_2$, whereas it vanishes when $\alpha_1=\alpha_2$ (see Eqs. (52), (64) and (73) in the limit $s\longrightarrow 0$). To sum up here we will conclude that the long memory effect caused by the junction of two media with different subdiffusion parameters α is stronger than the effect created by a thin membrane.

V. FINAL REMARKS

In this paper we present the new method of deriving the Green's function for a system in which two different media are separated by a thin partially permeable membrane. Within this method we first consider a particle's random walk in a system with a discrete time and space variable in which the particle may vanish due to reactions with constant probabilities defined separately for each medium. The reactions fulfil the supporting role. Since we link the reaction parameters to subdiffusion parameters, the presence of reactions allow us to include the subdiffusion parameters of both media into the Green's functions derived from a random walk model. So, we move from discrete to continuous variables in the obtained formulae and eliminate the reactions. Finally, we obtained the Green's functions for the system without reactions. The functions obtained were used to determine the boundary conditions at the thin membrane. The results presented in this paper are also valid when normal diffusion occurs in the system. We obtain the Green's functions and boundary conditions for a normal diffusion case by placing $\alpha_1 = 1$ and/or $\alpha_2 = 1$ into the obtained formulae.

The model based on the random walk model with a discrete time and space variable seems to be oversimplified. However, it provides somewhat nontrivial results which have a simple physical interpretation. We add that such models were used to model subdiffusion processes in membrane systems [15, 16], as was mentionad earlier in this paper, as well as in the system with reactions [31, 35].

The presence of a fractional derivative in the equation describing a certain process testifies that this is a process with a relatively long memory. In this paper we show that the probability of finding a particle at the membrane surface is dependent on the history of residence of the particle at the opposite membrane surface. There are two main reasons which create this long memory process. The first reason is that the media separated by a membrane have a different diffusion character which means here that the media have different subdiffusion parameters $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$. The second reason is the presence of a thin membrane. When $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$, the memory effect is represented by the second term occuring on the right–hand side of Eq. (74), which can be omitted over a long time limit. Thus, the first reason dominates the second in creating a 'long memory effect' over a long time limit at a plane at which the system is discontinuous. We note that this 'long memory effect' can play an important role if we are considering subdiffusion or normal diffusion in the membrane system in which particles can react with static particles located at the membrane surface.

The Green's functions differ qualitatively depending on whether a particle starts its subdiffusive random walk from a faster or slower medium, which is shown in Figs. 2–7. If the particle starts from a 'faster' medium, then its random walk in this medium is performed just as in the medium with an absorbing membrane, whereas when the particle starts from a 'slower' medium, then its random walk in this medium is similar to subdiffusion occurring in a system with a reflecting membrane over a long time limit. In both cases, the probability of finding the particle in the 'slower' medium significantly increases over time.

We have considered the process in which $x_0 \leq x_N$. The Green's functions obtained in this paper can be easily transformed for the case of $x_0 \geq x_N$ using the symmetry argument, which, in practice, means that the following conversion is made: $\alpha_1 \longrightarrow \alpha_2$, $\alpha_2 \longrightarrow \alpha_1$, $D_1 \longrightarrow D_2$, $D_2 \longrightarrow D_1$, $\tilde{\gamma}_1 \longrightarrow \tilde{\gamma}_2$, $\tilde{\gamma}_2 \longrightarrow \tilde{\gamma}_1$, $x - x_0 \longrightarrow x_0 - x$, $x - x_N \longrightarrow x_N - x$, and $x_N - x_0 \longrightarrow x_0 - x_N$. If we consider a system with many particles and if the particles move independently of each other, the concentration of particles $C(x,t)$ can be calculated by means of the following formula $C(x,t) = \int P(x,t;x_0)C(x_0,0)dx_0$. The procedure of finding the concentration profiles for the arbitrarily chosen initial condition $C(x_0,0)$ is identical to the procedure described in [15] (see Eqs. (78)–(90) in the above cited paper), where subdiffusion in a system in which a thin membrane separates two identical media is taken into account.

The results presented in this paper agree in part with the results obtained in [17–19], in which subdiffusion in a system which consists of two different subdiffusive media are joined at point x_N. However, in these papers, only the Green's function for the case of $x_0 = x_N$ was found. The Laplace transforms of the Green's functions derived in the above cited paper coincides with Eqs. (56), (57) and Eqs. (67), (68) presented in this paper, only in the case of $x_0 = x_N$. The boundary condition derived in [19] coincides with boundary conditions Eqs. (55) and (66) in this paper which are the approximation of boundary conditions Eqs. (53) and (65), respectively, over a long time limit. The model presented in this paper allows us to consider the subdiffusion of a particle initially located at an arbitrarily chosen point x_0.

Below, we add the comments concerning the technical aspects of the calculations presented in this paper. The moving from a discrete to continuous spatial variable is interpreted slightly differently from mathematical or physical points of view. Mathematically, this moving consists of calculating the limit $\epsilon \longrightarrow 0$ in the Green's functions already obtained for a discrete spatial variable. Physically, supposing that ϵ is very small but finite, one may expand the Green's functions in the series with respect to ϵ and then omit the terms of the order equal to or larger than 1 with respect to ϵ. The difference between both approaches is caused by the fact that in the limit $\epsilon \longrightarrow 0$, the probability distribution $\omega(t)$ of the waiting time for a particle to take its next step loses its physical interpretation; this problem is briefly discussed just below Eq. (33). However, these two approaches lead to the same result; so, in practice, it is possible to use both in order to find the functions for a continuous spatial variable.

One of the criteria that provides the utility of the used approximation is that the Green's functions obtained for

a membrane system should depend on the parameters of membrane permeability including the case of $\tilde{\gamma}_1 = \tilde{\gamma}_2$ (see the discussion presented in [15]). However, when $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$, several leading terms in the series which approximate the functions (37) and (38) with respect to s, are dependent on the ratio $\tilde{\gamma}_1/\tilde{\gamma}_2$ alone; the number of leading terms increases when $|\alpha_1 - \alpha_2|$ becomes smaller. When $\tilde{\gamma}_1 = \tilde{\gamma}_2$, in order to include the membrane permeability parameters in the approximate Green's functions, we have to include a relatively large number of terms, especially when $|\alpha_1 - \alpha_2| \ll 1$. This problem does not occur in the system with $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$. In this paper, we consider the subdiffusion in the composite membrane system for the case of $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$, assuming $\tilde{\gamma}_1 \neq \tilde{\gamma}_2$. We presume that the last condition is not significantly limiting in our considerations. Although from the mathematical point of view, the cases $\tilde{\gamma}_1 = \tilde{\gamma}_2$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_1 \neq \tilde{\gamma}_2$ should be treated 'equitably', from the physical point of view, the case of $\tilde{\gamma}_1 \neq \tilde{\gamma}_2$ is preferable. The case of $\tilde{\gamma}_1 = \tilde{\gamma}_2$ naturally occurs when a symmetrical thin membrane is located in a homogeneous system in which $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$. The Green's functions for such a system are a special case of the functions obtained in Sec. IIIC. For the system in which $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$, the coefficients $\tilde{\gamma}_1 = \gamma_1/\sqrt{D_1}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_2 = \gamma_2/\sqrt{D_2}$, which are given in different physical units, are equal for a very particular combination of parameters which reads $D_1/D_2 = (\gamma_1/\gamma_2)^2$. We note that γ_i is measured in the units m, whereas D_i is measured in units m^2/s^{α_i}, $i = 1, 2$. Changing the units of time provides a change on the left–hand side of the above relation, with its right–hand side remaining unchanged. Thus, the assumption $\tilde{\gamma}_1 \neq \tilde{\gamma}_2$ seems to have a good basis for the case of $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Dr. Katarzyna D. Lewandowska for her helpful discussions. This paper was partially supported by the Polish National Science Centre under grant No. 2014/13/D/ST2/03608.

Appendix I

We show the method of calculating the functions Eqs. (10)–(14). Using Eqs. (4)–(9), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{z}\left[S_A(m,z;m_0) - \delta_{m,m_0}\right] = \frac{1}{2}S_A(m-1,z;m_0) + \frac{1}{2}S_A(m+1,z;m_0) - R_1 S_A(m,z;m_0) \qquad m \neq N, N+1\,, \tag{88}$$

$$\frac{1}{z}\left[S_A(N,z;m_0) - \delta_{N,m_0}\right] = \frac{1}{2}S_A(N-1,z;m_0) + \frac{1-q_2}{2}S_B(N+1,z;m_0) + \frac{1-q_1}{2}S_A(N+1,z;m_0) - R_1 S_A(N,z;m_0)\,, \tag{89}$$

$$\frac{1}{z}\left[S_B(N+1,z;m_0) - \delta_{N+1,m_0}\right] = \frac{1-q_1}{2}S_A(N,z;m_0) + \frac{1}{2}S_B(N+2,z;m_0) + \frac{q_2}{2}S_B(N+1,z;m_0) - R_2 S_B(N+1,z;m_0)\,, \tag{90}$$

$$\frac{1}{z}\left[S_B(m,z;m_0) - \delta_{m,m_0}\right] = \frac{1}{2}S_B(m-1,z;m_0) + \frac{1}{2}S_B(m+1,z;m_0) - R_2 S_B(m,z;m_0)\,, \qquad m > N+1\,. \tag{91}$$

In order to solve Eqs. (88)–(91), we use the following generating functions with respect to the space variable

$$G_A(u,z;m_0) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{N} u^m S_A(m,z;m_0), \tag{92}$$

$$G_B(u,z;m_0) = \sum_{m=N+1}^{\infty} u^m S_B(m,z;m_0). \tag{93}$$

After calculating, we obtain

$$G_A(u,z;m_0)\left[1 - \frac{z}{2}\left(u + \frac{1}{u} + R_1 z\right)\right] = \frac{z}{2}u^N\left[q_1 S_A(N,z;m_0) + (1-q_2)S_B(N+1,z;m_0)\right] + u^{m_0} - \frac{z}{2}u^{N+1}S_A(N,z;m_0)\,, \tag{94}$$

$$G_B(u,z;m_0)\left[1 - \frac{z}{2}\left(u + \frac{1}{u} + R_2 z\right)\right] = \frac{z}{2}u^{N+1}\left[(1-q_1)S_A(N,z;m_0) + q_2 S_B(N+1,z;m_0)\right] - \frac{z}{2}u^N S_B(N+1,z;m_0)\,. \tag{95}$$

The generating function S_i can be obtained by means of the following formula

$$S_i(m,z;m_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_{K(\mathbf{0},1)} \frac{G_i(u,z;m_0)}{u^{m+1}}du\,, \tag{96}$$

where $i = A, B$, the integration is carried out along the unit circle K centered at the point $\mathbf{0} = (0, 0)$ to be consistent with the increasing argument of a complex number. Using the integral formula

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_{K(\mathbf{0},1)} \frac{u^{m_0}}{u^{m+1}\left[1-\frac{z}{2}\left(u+\frac{1}{u}\right)+zR\right]}du \qquad (97)$$
$$= \left(\frac{1+zR-\sqrt{(1+zR)^2-z^2}}{z}\right)^{|m-m_0|} \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1+zR)^2-z^2}}\,,$$

from Eqs. (94)–(96), we obtain, after calculations, Eqs. (10)–(14).

Appendix II

We suppose that

$$q_1(\epsilon) = 1 - \frac{f_1(\epsilon)}{\gamma_1}\,,\; q_2(\epsilon) = 1 - \frac{f_2(\epsilon)}{\gamma_2}\,, \qquad (98)$$

where $f_1(\epsilon)$, $f_2(\epsilon)$ are positive functions as yet to be determined, and γ_1 and γ_2 are reflection membrane coefficients defined for the continuous system. From Eqs. (27), (28), (34) and (98) we obtain

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_A(s) = \frac{\frac{1}{\epsilon}\left[\frac{f_2(\epsilon)s^{\alpha_1/2}}{\gamma_2\sqrt{D_1}} - \frac{f_1(\epsilon)s^{\alpha_2/2}}{\gamma_1\sqrt{D_2}}\right] + \frac{s^{(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)/2}}{\sqrt{D_1D_2}}}{\frac{1}{\epsilon}\left[\frac{f_2(\epsilon)s^{\alpha_1/2}}{\gamma_2\sqrt{D_1}} + \frac{f_1(\epsilon)s^{\alpha_2/2}}{\gamma_1\sqrt{D_2}}\right] + \frac{s^{(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)/2}}{D_1D_2}}, \qquad (99)$$

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_B(s) = \frac{\frac{2}{\epsilon}\frac{f_1(\epsilon)s^{\alpha_1/2}}{\gamma_1\sqrt{D_2}}}{\frac{1}{\epsilon}\left[\frac{f_2(\epsilon)s^{\alpha_1/2}}{\gamma_2\sqrt{D_1}} + \frac{f_1(\epsilon)s^{\alpha_2/2}}{\gamma_1\sqrt{D_2}}\right] + \frac{s^{(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)/2}}{D_1D_2}}. \qquad (100)$$

The only form of the functions $f_1(\epsilon)$ and $f_2(\epsilon)$ which ensures that the functions $\tilde{\Lambda}_A(s)$ and $\tilde{\Lambda}_B(s)$, Eqs. (99) and (100) are finite and depend on membrane's reflection parameters for any γ_1 and γ_2 (including the case $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2$) within the limit $\epsilon \longrightarrow 0$ is

$$f_1(\epsilon) \equiv f_2(\epsilon) \equiv \epsilon\,. \qquad (101)$$

[1] J. Kim, G. M. Saidel, and S. C. Kalhan, J. Theor. Biol. **251**, 523 (2008).
[2] P. Schumm, C.M. Scoglio, and D. van der Merwe, J. Theor. Biol. **262**, 471 (2010).
[3] W. Zhan, L. Jiang, M.H. Loew, and Y. Yang, Magn. Reson. Imaging **26**, 694 (2008).
[4] L. Tao and C. Nicholson, J. Theor. Biol. **229**, 59 (2004).
[5] A.A. Linninger, M.R. Somayaji, M. Mekarski, and L. Zhang, J. Theor. Biol. **250**, 125 (2008).
[6] I. Sebti, D. Blanc, A. Carnet-Ripoche, R. Saurel, and V. Coma, J. Food Engineering **63**, 185 (2004); I. Deleris *et al.* ibid. **100**,557 (2010).
[7] R. K. Hobbie and B. J. Roth, *Intermediate Physics for Medicine and Biology*, (Springer, New York, 2007).
[8] M. Luckey, *Structural Biology with Biochemical and Biophysical Foundations*, (Cambridge University Press, New York, 2008).
[9] H. P. Hsieh, *Inorganic Membranes for Separation and Reaction*, (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1996).
[10] R. Metzler and J. Klafter, Phys. Rep. **339**, 1 (2000); J. Phys. A **37**, R161 (2004).
[11] T. Kosztołowicz, K. Dworecki, and S. Mrówczyński, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 170602 (2005); Phys. Rev. E **71**, 041105 (2005).
[12] T. Zhang, B. Shi, Z. Guo, Z. Chai, and J. Lu, Phys. Rev. E **85**, 016701 (2012); D. S. Grebenkov, Phys. Rev. E **81**, 021128 (2010).
[13] T. Kosztołowicz, Phys. Rev. E **54**, 3639 (1996); Physica A **248**, 44 (1998); *ibid.* **298**, 285 (2001); J. Phys. A **31**, 1943 (1998); J. Membr. Sci. **320**, 492 (2008).
[14] T. Kosztołowicz, K. Dworecki and K.D. Lewandowska, Phys. Rev. E **86**, 021123 (2012); K. Dworecki, T. Kosztołowicz, S. Mrówczyński and S. Wąsik, Eur. J. Phys. E **3**, 389 (2000); T. Kosztołowicz and S. Mrówczyński, Acta Phys. Pol. B **32**, 217 (2001).
[15] T. Kosztołowicz, Phys. Rev. E **91**, 022102 (2015).
[16] T. Kosztołowicz, J. Stat. Mech. P10021 (2015).
[17] N. Korabel and E. Barkai, Phys. Rev. E **83**, 051113 (2011).
[18] N. Korabel and E. Barkai, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 170603 (2010).
[19] N. Korabel and E. Barkai, J. Stat. Mech. P05022 (2011).
[20] D.K. Singh and A.R. Ray, J. Membr. Sci. **155**, 107 (1999); Y.D. Kim *et al.*, *ibid.* **190**, 69 (2001); R. Ash, *ibid.* **232**, 9 (2004); S.M. Huang *et al. ibid.* **442**, 8 (2013); A. Adrover *et al. ibid.* **113**, 720 (1996); M.J. Abdekhodaie *ibid.* **174**, 81 (2000); P. Taveira, A. Mendes and C. Costa, *ibid.* **221**, 123 (2003); M.I. Cabrera, J.A. Luna and R.J.A. Grau, *ibid.* **280**, 693 (2006); M.I. Cabrera and R.J.A. Grau, *ibid.* **293**, 1 (2007).
[21] D.T. Gillespie and E. Seitaridou, *Simple Brownian Diffusion. An Introduction to the Standard Theoretical Models*, (Oxford Unievrsity Press, Oxford, 2013).
[22] O.C. Ibe, *Elements of Random Walk and Diffusion Processes*, (Wiley, New Jersey, 2013).
[23] J. Klafter and I.M. Sokolov, *First Steps in Random Walks. From Tools to Applications*, (Oxford University Press, New York, 2011).
[24] J.W. Haus and K.W. Kehr, Phys. Rep. **150**, 263 (1987).
[25] S. Chandrasekhar, Rev. Mod. Phys. **15**, 1 (1943).
[26] B. D. Hughes, *Random Walk and Random Environments. Vol. I, Random Walks* (Clarendon, Oxford, 1995).
[27] M.N. Barber and B.W. Ninham, *Random and Restricted Walks. Theory and Applications*, (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1970).
[28] E.W. Montroll and G.H. Weiss, J. Math. Phys. **6**, 167 (1965).
[29] E.W. Montroll, in: *Applied Combinatorial Mathematics*,

ed. E.F. Beckenbach, (Wiley, New York, 1964), 96.
[30] G.H. Weiss, *Aspects and Applications of the Random Walk*, (North–Holland, Amsterdam, 1994).
[31] T. Kosztołowicz and K.D. Lewandowska, Phys. Rev. E **90**, 032136 (2014).
[32] T. Kosztołowicz, J. Phys. A **37**, 10779 (2004).
[33] I. Podlubny, *Fractional Differential Equations*, Academic Press, San Diego (1999).
[34] K.D. Lewandowska and T. Kosztołowicz, Acta Phys. Pol. B **38**, 1847 (2007).
[35] T. Kosztołowicz, Phys. Rev. E **90**, 042151 (2014).